Two large schools opened in Ayton in the 1840s. These were the North of England Agricultural School (later called the Friends’ School, and now Ayton School), and the British School. Both were founded under the inspiration of the same man, Thomas Richardson, who has already been mentioned above as having started an infant school in the village a decade earlier. He was born in 1771 in Darlington, although his mother was one of the Langbaurgh Richardsons. His branch of the Richardson clan was quite a humble one and he started his career as a grocer’s apprentice. However, he later went to London, became errand boy to a firm of bankers in Lombard Street, and worked his way up to be one of the wealthiest bankers in the City. He became a partner of Edward Pease and George Stephenson in the latter’s locomotive works in Newcastle, and he was also one of the six original ‘Middlesbrough owners’ who founded the port of Middlesbrough as an alternative to Stockton and Yarm.

In 1841 Thomas Richardson gave £5,000 towards the total cost of £6,500 to buy the land at Ayton on which the Friends’ School was to be built. The estate, of 74 acres, with a handsome Georgian house fronting the High Green, as well as a mill and several cottages, was sold to the Friends by Philip Hesleton, a Quaker landowner and linen manufacturer (see page 96), and himself a cousin of Thomas Richardson. Other local Quakers, especially members of the Pease and Backhouse families, including another cousin, John Pease, contributed the rest of the capital.

The school was intended to cater, not for the village children but for the labouring classes of those who are in any way connected with our Society from all over the North. By this date Quakers were just starting to give up their severe attitude towards those of their members who married non-Quakers (see page 133), and this school was particularly intended for the children of such mixed marriages, to give them a good, Quaker education. Pupils were to be trained, the boys in agriculture and the girls in domestic service, and the regime was to be fairly spartan:

> It is proposed that the food, clothing and accommodation altogether should be marked by the utmost frugality and simplicity consistent with health and comfort; the object is to induce habits of personal industry and to fit the young people of both sexes to be useful and happy in those circles in which an uneering Creator has placed them rather than to prompt them to aspire after more elevated walks of life.

Today, of course, the school is going strong, but the educational objectives have changed slightly. Modern pupils do aspire, via. G.C.E.s and university places. Unfortunately, the fees have changed as well. In 1841 they were £8 a year; today, many thousands of pounds!

When the estate had been acquired, a new, three-storey building was put up next to Philip Hesleton’s house, and other alterations made. Thomas Richardson, now retired from banking and living at Ayton House, paid daily visits. He continued to make numerous gifts to the new school, and it has been estimated that his total contribution, between 1841 and his death in 1843, was over £11,000. A young teacher, who had taught in various Quaker schools including Bishop Auckland, who understood farming as well as teaching, and who had the additional qualification of being a teetotaller, was chosen as Superintendent. George Dixon took up his post, at £40 per annum, and by the end of 1841 the school held 16 boys and 16 girls.

The school’s early history is set out in a readable, but rather rare, book, the Jubilee History of Ayton School,
published in 1891 and mainly written by George Dixon himself. He describes a school educationally advanced for its
day in which, for instance, stress was laid on teaching all the pupils to swim, and in which everyone seemed to be
fairly happy in spite of the rather strict Quaker atmosphere. One rule was that no music, or even hymn singing, was
allowed, and Thomas Richardson complained that the boys’ and girls’ playgrounds were too close, so that the boys
could see the girls romp. Nothing was done about this, however. What the pupils had to wear seems to have been
practical, but not particularly popular:

_The school furnished both boys and girls with clothing. The former having coats and vests made of grey
woollen cloth, and trousers of strong cotton corduroy For out-door-work special clothing was required. A
number of strong linen smocks or blouses, such as were worn by farm labourers in the South of England, were
provided for each boy. They disliked them very much and hurried to take them off when they had done work...
For digging they wore wooden clogs, ironed round the edges, which saved their shoes. For the head, a
strong Glengarry cap of a dark brown colour, obtained direct from Aberdeen._

The scholars’ diet must have been very nourishing - once one was used to it. It included

_an abundant supply of milk, wholesome brown bread and, every other day for dinner a suet pudding with a
sweetened sauce which the housekeeper called melted butters but the children did not believe it had any
butter in it, and it was so like the paste used by the men in putting up the placards against the walls it
received the name of ‘bill-sticker’._

In its early days the school concentrated on agricultural work, but as time went on the amount of time the boys
spent on the land during the week, and the girls on domestic duties, was steadily reduced, and in the 1880s both
disappeared completely. It seems that what they did was never very profitable anyway. But normal lessons were not
neglected, even at the beginning. The school operated on the monitorial system, started by a Quaker, Joseph
Lancaster, in 1814, whereby older children helped teach the younger ones. This meant that at first George Dixon and
his wife, Alice, could run the lessons by themselves. His speciality was natural history, and he used to take the
children on long excursions to look for wild flowers, fungi and fossils. He was, in fact, a man of many parts. As well as
running the school he set up a business from his home in John Street selling equipment for the natural history
enthusiast and his designs for collecting boxes and tweezers are illustrated at the back of the _Jubilee History._
Immediately on his retirement in 1865, when he handed the school over to his son, Ralph, George Dixon decided (his
wife having died) to sail to America and help educate the newly-emancipated slaves in the Southern states. He
returned eighteen years later with an American wife, Eunice Congdon, whom he is said to have rescued from the
clutches of the Klu Klux Klan. He died in 1904, aged 94, by which time his son, Ralph (head from 1865 to 1895), had
also retired. Ralph died in 1916, aged 80.
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